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Abstract

In this paper, we examined whether meditation practice influences the epigenetic clock, a strong and reproducible biomarker of biological aging, which is accelerated by cumulative lifetime stress and with age-related chronic diseases. Using the Illumina 450 K array platform, we analyzed the DNA methylation from blood cells of long-term meditators and meditation-naïve controls to estimate their Intrinsic Epigenetic Age Acceleration (IEAA). The deviation between the DNA methylation (DNAm) age and the chronological age was highly correlated to chronological age and to be stable across most tissues and cell types (i.e. whole blood, peripheral mononuclear cells, brain cells, breast, kidney, liver, lung and saliva) (Horvath, 2013). The deviation between the DNAm age and the chronological age of an individual provides information regarding his epigenetic aging rate (Chen et al., 2016). Faster-running epigenetic clocks have been negatively associated with longevity (Horvath et al., 2015), and positively associated with chronic diseases (Horvath et al., 2014; Horvath and Ritz, 2015; Levine et al., 2015a, 2015b; Perna et al., 2016), frailty (Breitling et al., 2016), cognitive and physical fitness in the elderly (Marioni et al., 2015b) and all cause mortality even after adjusting for chronological age and a variety of known risk factors (Christiansen et al., 2016; Marioni et al., 2015a; Perna et al., 2016). The epigenetic clock therefore may represent an accurate tool to measure the effectiveness of lifestyle-based interventions for the prevention of age-related diseases. This is a health priority area today as revealed by data from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention indicating that 78% of adults aged 55 years and over present one or more chronic diseases (www.cdc.gov).

Consistent with previous work describing the noxious impact of psychological stress on health span (Juster et al., 2010), it has recently been shown that cumulative lifetime chronic stress (Zannas et al., 2015) and trauma (Boks et al., 2015) predict accelerated epigenetic aging. Meditation-based stress reduction interventions have increasingly become a focus of scientific interest to promote healthy aging. Recent

1. Introduction

Recently, DNA methylation has emerged as a robust biomarker of biological aging. The methylation level of a large number of genomic sites are highly associated with age, to such a point that methods based on a small number of these sites can predict the chronological age with an average error of only 4 years (Hannum et al., 2013; Horvath, 2013). More precisely, the DNA methylation (DNAm) age can be estimated from the methylation levels of 353 CpG sites of the genome and has been found to highly correlate to chronological age and to be stable across most tissues and cell types (i.e. whole blood, peripheral mononuclear cells, brain cells, breast, kidney, liver, lung and saliva) (Horvath, 2013). The deviation between the DNAm age and the chronological age of an individual provides information regarding his epigenetic aging rate (Chen et al., 2016). Faster-running epigenetic clocks have been negatively associated with longevity (Horvath et al., 2015), and positively associated with chronic diseases (Horvath et al., 2014; Horvath and Ritz, 2015; Levine et al., 2015a, 2015b; Perna et al., 2016), frailty (Breitling et al., 2016), cognitive and physical fitness in the elderly (Marioni et al., 2015b) and all cause mortality even after adjusting for chronological age and a variety of known risk factors (Christiansen et al., 2016; Marioni et al., 2015a; Perna et al., 2016). The epigenetic clock therefore may represent an accurate tool to measure the effectiveness of lifestyle-based interventions for the prevention of age-related diseases. This is a health priority area today as revealed by data from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention indicating that 78% of adults aged 55 years and over present one or more chronic diseases (www.cdc.gov).

Consistent with previous work describing the noxious impact of psychological stress on health span (Juster et al., 2010), it has recently been shown that cumulative lifetime chronic stress (Zannas et al., 2015) and trauma (Boks et al., 2015) predict accelerated epigenetic aging. Meditation-based stress reduction interventions have increasingly become a focus of scientific interest to promote healthy aging. Recent
research suggests that meditation has beneficial effects in stress and age-related neuroplastic changes, and mood and cognitive disorders (Davidson and McEwen, 2012; Acevedo et al., 2016; Luders, 2014). Molecular mechanisms involved in the aging process, such as inflammation, immune and epigenetic pathways (Black and Slavich, 2016; Kaliman et al., 2014), as well as telomere maintenance (Epel et al., 2009; Alda et al., 2016), are also sensitive to contemplative practices. However, it remains unexplored whether meditation experience modulates the rate of the epigenetic aging. Given the well-characterized effect of meditation practice on stress reduction (McEwen, 2016), we tested the hypothesis that long-term practitioners would show slower rates of epigenetic aging than age and sex matched controls. To do so, we analyzed DNA methylome data from blood cells of long-term meditators and meditation-naive controls.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Participants

Long-term meditators (n = 18) and meditation-naive controls (n = 20) were studied. The recruitment strategy, and inclusion and exclusion criteria, are described in detail in supplementary information S1. The two groups had similar group distribution of age, sex, body mass index and ethnicity (S2). Participant’s written informed consent was approved by the UW-Madison. Meditators had more than 3 years of practice (with a minimum of 30 min of daily sitting meditation and at least 3 intensive retreats), including both mindfulness and compassion-related meditations. The control group comprised of individuals with no prior meditation experience.

These participants were studied in the context of our previous work (Kaliman et al., 2014), before (8 am, Time 1) and after (4 pm, Time 2) an intensive day of mindfulness meditation or leisure time in the same environment for meditators and controls, respectively. Detailed information about the interventions is provided in S3. As no impact of daytime or interventions was found on epigenetic aging measures (S3), results presented in this paper correspond to Time 1 samples.

2.2. Isolation of peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC)

Blood samples were obtained from each participant and PBMCs were isolated, as described (Kaliman et al., 2014). DNA was isolated using QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit, and stored at −80 °C until processing. Sample processing is described in detail in the supplementary information (S1).

2.3. Genome-wide DNA methylation profiling

Methylation analysis was performed using Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation450 BeadChip. DNA methylation data from all participants were generated at the same time. DNA samples from both groups were randomized across the chips. For each participant, DNA methylation data at more than 485,512 sites was obtained. Four participants (3 controls and 1 meditator) with poor methylome quality were removed, leaving a data set of 17 meditators and 17 controls.

2.4. DNA methylation (DNAm) age and intrinsic epigenetic age acceleration (IEAA)

DNAm age was calculated from raw β-values using an elastic net regression model based on 353 CpGs. IEAA is defined as the residual resulting from a multivariate regression model of DNAm age on chronological age and blood cell counts (Chen et al., 2016). DNAm age and IEAA were estimated using the DNAm age calculator (Horvath, 2013). All values for DNAm age and IEAA are provided in S4.

We tested whether IEAA was significantly different between meditators and controls using a linear model including age and sex as covariates. To explore IEAA trajectory as a function of age in meditators and controls, we generated a total of four subgroups including 9 subjects with ages < 52 and 8 subjects with ages ≥ 52, both for meditators and controls. All tests were corrected for sex. The cut-off age was set to 52 following two criteria: (i) to generate balanced subgroups in terms of sample size (ii) that the older group includes ages at which most individuals present one or several chronic diseases [www.cdc.gov]). We checked that BMI, ethnicity and sex showed similar distributions between the subgroups (t-test p-value for BMI and chi-square p-values with Yates’s correction for sex and ethnicity > 0.5 when comparing control subgroups and p-values = 0.85, 0.08 and 0.25 respectively for these tests when comparing meditator subgroups). In addition, the two subgroups of expert meditators showed similar distribution of years of meditation experience (p-value = 0.59).

Regression analysis between IEAA and the number of years of practice, taking into account age and sex as covariates, were carried out within the meditators group without including controls, and in the two age subgroups including controls as baseline (“zero years meditation experience”). We confirmed that IEAA and years of meditation followed a normal distribution with the Shapiro-Wilk test (p-value = 0.59 and 0.17 respectively), and we did not identify any outlier in terms of IEAA (p-value = 0.46) or years of meditation (p-value = 0.09) using the Grubbs test.

3. Results

3.1. DNA methylation (DNAm) age and Intrinsic epigenetic age acceleration (IEAA) estimation

We measured the DNA methylation (DNAm) ages in long-term meditators and meditation-naive controls. DNAm and chronological ages were highly correlated to each other (Pearson r = 0.88 in controls, r = 0.79 in meditators, r = 0.83 overall, p-value < 10−3 in all cases) (Fig. 1A), confirming the accuracy of the epigenetic clock model (Horvath, 2013).

We calculated the Intrinsic Epigenetic Age Acceleration (IEAA) which adjusts the epigenetic aging rate for blood cell count estimates, leading to a measure unaffected by both variation in chronological age and blood cell composition (Chen et al., 2016). Correcting for age and sex, we found that meditators and controls exhibited similar IEAs (p-value = 0.70) (Fig. 1B, Table 1A), indicating no difference in the epigenetic aging rate between the two groups.

3.2. Age-related IEAA trajectories in controls and meditators

We next examined how aging influenced IEAA in controls and long term meditators. We compared IEAA between ages < 52 and ≥ 52 in the control group, and we performed the same analysis in long term meditators.

We found that controls presented a different IEAA trajectory of aging compared with meditators: while controls aged 52 and over showed significantly higher IEAA than younger controls (p-value = 0.04, Fig. 1C and Table 1A), meditators were protected from this effect, showing similar IEAs in both age subgroups (p-value = 0.47, Fig. 1D and Table 1A).

3.3. IEAA and years of meditation practice

To further explore the influence of meditation practice on IEAA, we regressed IEAA on years of regular meditation practice including age and sex as covariates. Expert meditators showed a mean decrease in IEAA of 0.24 [0.06, 0.42] (p-value = 0.02) for each additional year of regular meditation practice (Fig. 1E and Table 1B). We also performed this analysis considering the control group as “zero meditation years” (Fig. 1F and G, Table 1B). We found a significant negative correlation between meditation years of practice and IEAA in subjects aged ≥ 52 (p-value = 0.03) while such an effect was not found in younger subjects (ages < 52) (p-value = 0.7).
4. Discussion

The current study was designed to determine if the rate of epigenetic aging in long-term meditators was different than in meditation-naïve controls (the meditators recruited for this study had between 5 and 30 years of continuous regular practice). We found no difference in the intrinsic epigenetic aging acceleration (IEAA) between long-term meditators and meditation-naïve controls. However, we found a different IEAA trajectory in controls and meditators as a function of age, with an increase of IEAA with age in controls but not in meditators, suggesting that integrating meditation practice into daily routine may have a protective effect in terms of epigenetic aging in the long run. Cumulative lifetime stress is one of the factors described to accelerate epigenetic aging (Zannas et al., 2015). Our study suggests that psychological stress reduction in meditators as a result of their regular practice may contribute to the stability of their IEAA across ages compared with controls. Consistent with this hypothesis, we found that in long-term meditators the epigenetic aging rate decreased significantly with the number of years of regular meditation practice. When controls were included in this analysis as “zero meditation experience”, the significant effect of years of meditation on IEAA was only found in subjects aged ≥ 52, indicating that this protective effect of meditation practice is observed mainly in older subjects. As a whole, these findings suggest that the protective effect of meditation on epigenetic age acceleration may be progressive and cumulative.

Data presented here extend previous evidence showing the
influence of meditation training on aging biomarkers, notably the presence of longer telomeres in long-term meditators (Alida et al., 2016), the increase in telomerase activity after a 3 months meditation retreat (Jacobs et al., 2011), a yogic meditation training (Lavretsky et al., 2013) and a mindfulness-based stress reduction program (Lengacher et al., 2014) Telomere length and epigenetic aging rate, however, have been shown to be mutually uncorrelated and independently linked to disease and mortality risk, probably through different aging signaling pathways (Marioni et al., 2016). Previous reports on telomere biology, together with our findings on epigenetic aging, suggest that meditation practice may modulate different molecular mechanisms involved in cell aging and may represent a useful preventive strategy for age-related chronic diseases.

Our data may contribute to reveal the benefits of long-term meditation on healthy aging although they present several limitations that warrant further investigation. In particular, longitudinal randomized and controlled trials involving larger samples are required to help disentangle the effect of meditation from pre-existing individual differences and/or from other meditation-associated lifestyle factors on epigenetic aging. It is also critical to explore in future studies the type of practices (i.e. mindfulness-related meditations, compassion-related meditations, breath work, visualizations, body scanning or other mind-body techniques) and the frequency and duration of the trainings that could efficiently decrease the epigenetic aging rate in otherwise meditation-naive healthy subjects or clinical populations.
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### Algorithm 1

#### A – Difference in IEAA between groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Controls versus meditators, all ages (Fig. 1B)</th>
<th>Meditators versus controls, all ages (Fig. 1C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Controls &lt; 52 versus Controls ≥ 52 (P-val)</td>
<td>Meditators &lt; 52 versus Meditators ≥ 52 (P-val)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Beta [95% CI]</th>
<th>P-val</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Beta [95% CI]</th>
<th>P-val</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.67 [-0.93,2.28]</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.74 [0.46,1.13]</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Beta [95% CI]</th>
<th>P-val</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Beta [95% CI]</th>
<th>P-val</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.06 [-0.51,4.62]</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.55 [-3.89,2.79]</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Beta [95% CI]</th>
<th>P-val</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Beta [95% CI]</th>
<th>P-val</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.04 [-0.09,0.18]</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.05 [-0.25,0.36]</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### B – Regression of IEAA on years of meditation practice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meditators, all ages (Fig. 1E)</th>
<th>All subjects &lt; 52 (Fig. 1F)</th>
<th>All subjects ≥ 52 (Fig. 1G)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Controls &lt; 52 (P-val)</td>
<td>Controls ≥ 52 (P-val)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beta [95% CI]</td>
<td>P-val</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years_med</td>
<td>-0.24 [-0.42,-0.06]</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>4.25 [1.37,7.13]</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.05 [-0.07,0.25]</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pysneuro.2017.08.016.
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